

Title: A second wind for the cholinergic system in Alzheimer therapy

Running head: A second win for cholinergic therapies

Authors: Vincent Douchamps^{1,2} and Chantal Mathis^{1,2}

¹ Laboratoire de Neurosciences Cognitives et Adaptatives, CNRS-UMR7364, Neuropôle de Strasbourg GDR/CNRS2905, F-67000 Strasbourg, France.

² Université de Strasbourg, F-67000 Strasbourg, France.

Corresponding author/print request:

Dr. Vincent Douchamps, PhD

Laboratoire de Neurosciences Cognitives et Adaptatives

CNRS-UMR7364 CNRS

Neuropôle de Strasbourg GDR/CNRS2905

12 rue Goethe

F-67000 Strasbourg, France

Email: douchamps@unistra.fr

Phone: +33 (0) 3 68 85 19 28

Number of pages: 41; *Number of figures:* 0; *Number of tables:* 0

Number of words: Abstract (262/200), Text (6561/7500).

This work is not a redundant or duplicate publication.

Conflicts of interest: none declared.

Source of Funding: CM is supported by academic fundings from the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and the University of Strasbourg. VD is funded by a post-doctoral fellowship IdEx 2016 from the University of Strasbourg.

Abstract

Notwithstanding tremendous research efforts, the cause of Alzheimer's disease (AD) remains elusive and there is no curative treatment. The cholinergic hypothesis presented 35 years ago was the first major evidence-based hypothesis regarding AD etiology. It proposed that the depletion of brain acetylcholine was a primary cause of cognitive decline in advanced age and AD. It relied on a series of observations obtained in aged animals, elderly and AD patients which pointed to dysfunctions of cholinergic basal forebrain, similarities between cognitive impairments induced by anticholinergic drugs and those found in advanced age and AD, and beneficial effects of drugs stimulating cholinergic activity. This review comes back on these major results to show how this hypothesis provided the drive for the development of anticholinesterase inhibitor-based therapies of AD, the almost exclusive approved treatment in use despite transient and modest efficacy. New ideas for improving cholinergic therapies are also compared and discussed in light of the current revival of the cholinergic hypothesis based on two sets of evidence from new animal models and refined imagery techniques in humans. First, human and animal studies agree on detecting signs of cholinergic dysfunctions much earlier than initially thought. Second, alterations of the cholinergic system are deeply intertwined with its reactive responses providing the brain with efficient compensatory mechanisms to delay the conversion to AD. Active research in this field should give new insight to develop multi-therapies incorporating cholinergic manipulation, as well as early biomarkers of AD allowing earlier diagnostics. This is of prime importance to counteract a disease that is now recognized to start early in adult life.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease; Acetylcholine; Animal Models; Cholinomimetics

1. Introduction

The etiology and early pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease (AD) in its major sporadic form still remains highly mysterious mainly because causative pathways are very likely multifactorial. The greater known risk factor in the development of AD is aging and the major genetic risk factor is the apolipoprotein E gene allele *APOE4*. The most prominent clinical sign of AD is memory loss. Several forms of memory are affected at the early stage like episodic memory and working memory, both characterized by difficulties to recall information gathered during specific events (Almkvist, 1996; Salmon, 2011). Early deficits in spatial navigation tasks have also been reported (Kalová et al., 2005; Hort et al., 2007). As these forms of memory are also affected in normal aging (Moffat, 2009; Gazova et al., 2013), although to a much lesser extent, the frontiers between normal and pathological cognitive decline are difficult to set at the earliest stages of the disease. As the pathology progresses, the worsening of these deficits generates increasing perturbations of everyday life and compromises the quality of life of patients and their immediate entourage. Further aggravation of the pattern of cognitive deficits and emergence of other behavioral disturbance most often require institutional care, creating affective and financial burdens on families and heavy costs for the society. At the neuropathological level, brains of AD patients are expected to show the two main hallmarks of the disease, namely senile plaques and neurofibrillary tangles. Senile plaques are primarily constituted of the β -amyloid peptide ($A\beta$) which accumulates in the brain due to abnormal regulation of amyloidogenic proteolysis of the β -amyloid precursor protein (APP) and altered clearance of $A\beta$. Rare forms of early onset familial AD (FAD) are mainly due to gene mutations affecting APP metabolism in favor of $A\beta$ accumulation. Neurofibrillary tangles are largely formed of abnormally phosphorylated tau protein. Among a constellation of other neuropathological signs, there is also a marked brain inflammatory reaction and a relatively specific pattern of cell loss which affects primarily the temporal lobe and the cholinergic basal forebrain. The present review focuses on the cholinergic alterations associated with AD and the development of the cholinergic hypothesis which prompted the

use of cholinomimetic drugs as the first therapeutic approach to AD. Our aim is to enlighten how complementary animal and human studies can be at each step of the development of cholinergic drug in the past and the future.

2. AD and the cholinergic hypothesis

2.1 The cholinergic system

Acetylcholine (ACh) is a neurotransmitter released by neurons from the peripheral and central nervous systems. The central cholinergic system is mainly organized in six nuclei (Ch1 to Ch6) which differ by their anatomical localization, functional characteristics and patterns of projection (Mesulam et al., 1983). Four of them form the basal forebrain cholinergic system: the medial septum (MS; Ch1), the diagonal band of Broca (Ch2, Ch3) and the nucleus basalis of Meynert (NBM; nucleus basalis magnocellularis in rodents; Ch4). Ch5 and Ch6 cholinergic neurons are located in the brain stem within the pedunculopontine nucleus and laterodorsal tegmental nucleus, respectively. A third main source of central ACh is provided by local projections of striatal cholinergic interneurons, but these cholinergic neurons, like those of ch5-6, are relatively preserved by AD (Jellinger, 1988; Geula et al., 1990). In contrast, the basal forebrain cholinergic system appears as the main locus of cholinergic dysfunctions associated with AD, as developed below. Cholinergic neurons of the MS (Ch1) and vertical limb of the diagonal band of Broca (Ch2) provide the main supply of ACh to the hippocampus and the entorhinal cortex, whereas those of the nucleus basalis of Meynert mainly innervate the cerebral cortex and the amygdala (Mesulam et al., 1992; Kitt et al., 1994).

ACh is synthesized by the choline acetyl transferase (ChAT) from two immediate precursors, choline and acetyl-coenzyme A. It is then packed by the vesicular acetylcholine transporter inside presynaptic

vesicles of axonal terminals. Once released by the presynaptic cholinergic neurons, ACh binds to cholinergic receptors present on post-synaptic or pre-synaptic cell membranes. It is subsequently hydrolyzed in choline and acetate by the acetylcholinesterase enzyme (AChE) or by the less specific butyrylcholinesterase enzyme (BuChE) (Unzeta et al., 2016). Both are found in neuronal synapses, though at a much higher concentration for AChE. BuChE is also less selective for ACh and operates with different kinetics. These enzymes have two substrate binding sites: the catalytic anionic site responsible for the hydrolysis itself and the peripheral anionic site which concentrates the substrate towards the central site. Note that A β interacts with the peripheral site to trigger amyloid fibrillogenesis (Inestrosa et al., 1996). Choline resulting from ACh hydrolysis is then captured back to the presynaptic neuron where it is transformed into ACh by ChAT. Once released, ACh can bind onto two categories of cholinergic receptors: the G-protein coupled muscarinic receptors (mAChRs), divided in five subtypes (M1 to M5), and the pentameric ionotropic nicotinic receptors (nAChRs) constituted of α subunits (α 2-10) and β subunits (β 2-4). To sum up, several possible pharmacological means of enhancing cholinergic transmission are available. So far, investigation of AD therapies have focused primarily on the acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (AChEI), which increase the availability of brain ACh, and to a lesser extent on various compounds possessing agonistic effects on cholinergic receptors.

2.2 The cholinergic hypothesis

Formulated 35 years ago, the cholinergic hypothesis posits that cholinergic dysfunction contributes to cognitive deficits associated with aging and AD (Bartus et al., 1982). This hypothesis was based on three main streams of contemporary evidence emerging from both human and animal studies. First, cholinergic markers were affected in subjects with age-related cognitive decline. Second, alterations of the cholinergic system induced deficits similar to those of aged subjects and Alzheimer patients. Third, increasing central cholinergic activity had a beneficial effect on age-related cognitive deficits.

Hence, one of the most convincing evidence supporting the cholinergic hypothesis was provided by studies showing dramatic changes in the cholinergic system associated with cognitive decline. Strikingly, late stages of AD were accompanied by a severe loss of neurons from the NBM (Whitehouse et al., 1981). In addition, a reduction of ChAT activity was reported as stronger and more reliable in AD brains compared to age-matched ones and it correlated to the degree of memory impairment (Bowen et al., 1976; Davies and Maloney, 1976; Perry et al., 1978). This particular neurochemical change was thus considered highly specific to AD. Moderate cholinergic cell loss and reduction of cerebral ChAT activity associated with memory deficits were later confirmed in aged animals (Strong et al., 1980; Gilad et al., 1987). Perturbations of other cholinergic markers such as muscarinic receptor binding were subtle but reliable in aged animals and elderly while quite inconsistent in AD patients (reviewed in Bartus et al. 1982). It is important to note that, when the cholinergic hypothesis was formulated, there was no animal model of AD yet. Research on the cholinergic system and cognitive aging was limited to aged animals from species that do not develop spontaneously AD-like pathology. The idea of a specific role of the cholinergic system in cognitive aging was further supported by studies in humans showing that low doses of the muscarinic antagonist scopolamine induced cognitive deficits in young subjects resembling those of aged subject in tasks evaluating delayed recall of recent information (Drachman and Leavitt, 1974). This was also true in animals, from monkeys to rodents (Meyers and Domino 1964; Bartus 1979). As a logical correlate, several compounds stimulating the cholinergic system were thus considered in preclinical as well as clinical studies for their potential effects on memory impairments in normal and pathological aging. Most of them, such as ACh precursors (e.g., lecithin) or muscarinic agonists (e.g., arecoline), generally failed to improve performance in aged subjects and all of them led to disappointing clinical outcomes mainly due to poor pharmacokinetics and deleterious side effects. In fact, the best results came from anticholinesterase drugs, especially physostigmine which demonstrated facilitatory effects on cognitive performances in non-human primates (Bartus, 1979), young and old humans

(Drachman and Sahakian, 1980) and AD patients (Muramoto et al., 1979). The additional finding that deficits induced by scopolamine could be reliably relieved by physostigmine in rodent models made this compound the genuine ancestor of the cholinomimetics and opened a large avenue of preclinical and clinical research leading to the development of therapeutic drugs possessing anticholinesterase activity.

Within less than 15 years after the publication of Bartus' seminal paper (1982), marketing authorizations were given to the first of four cholinomimetics approved for the treatment of AD, namely tacrine (Cognex®, abandoned due to side effects) followed by donepezil (Aricept®), galantamine (Reminyl®) and rivastigmine (Exelon®). These compounds are mainly prescribed at the early stages of AD when cognitive symptoms are light to moderate. Benefits reported are modest and last less than two years (Courtney et al. 2004): cognitive performances increase or at least stabilize, and there is a global improvement in daily life activities. However, these effects are limited to a subpopulation of responders (30-40 % of the patients) impossible to identify *a priori*. It is noteworthy to remind that these drugs are the only ones approved as AD directed treatments up to now, aside the *N*-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) antagonist memantine. Although these treatments are not disease-modifying, these symptomatic drugs should certainly be acknowledged as useful for the daily life of patients within the limits evoked above. As long as the root causes of AD remains obscure, there is no pharmacological alternative at this time. It must be noted here that the widely used aged animal model may have misled research strategies to develop anticholinergic drugs based on several fundamental differences between healthy elderly and AD patients concerning the dramatic neuronal loss even at early stages (nearly absent in normal aging; see as example Small et al., 2004), the pattern of cortical vulnerability more centered on the medial temporal lobe and the nature of cholinergic basal forebrain alterations in AD (Grothe et al., 2010; Grothe et al., 2012).

2.3 The preclinical models of cognitive aging used for cholinomimetics development

As shown above, animal studies contributed to a great degree to the genesis of the cholinergic hypothesis in parallel to human studies. Thereafter, animal models were instrumental to the development of cholinomimetics. Similarities in the pattern of memory deficits induced by scopolamine compared to those found in AD prompted the use of animal models based on muscarinic receptor blockade for many years. These models have benefited from a long-standing experience in modulating memory performances through pharmacological manipulations with cholinergic drugs (Deutsch, 1971). The loss of cholinergic neurons in AD has also led to the development of models based on lesions of basal forebrain cholinergic nuclei using various approaches, first electrolytic, then excitotoxic and more recently immunotoxic (e.g., 192 IgG-saporin in rats). However, as the immunotoxic lesion technique was targeting more specifically the cholinergic neurons, results ended up disappointing in regard to the limited extent of memory deficits obtained in these models (Gallagher and Colombo, 1995; Parent and Baxter, 2004). As a matter of fact, the use of lesion models in developing cholinomimetics has been quite limited (Riekkinen, Riekkinen, et al., 1991; Mulder et al., 2005). This relative lack of effect on memory performance was totally unexpected and it seriously questioned the cholinergic hypothesis. Moreover, the strong impact of less specific lesions was subsequently interpreted as resulting from the loss of non-cholinergic basal forebrain neurons (e.g., GABAergic, glutamatergic) which contributed evidently more to cognitive processing than initially thought (Parent and Baxter, 2004). However, it was later shown that specific cholinergic lesions did provoke massive spatial navigation impairments in both reference memory and working memory tasks when associated with mild neuronal loss within the temporal lobe (i.e., entorhinal cortex lesions; Traissard et al., 2007). This finding rehabilitated the concept of a critical role of cholinergic neuronal loss as a major aggravating factor for cognitive deficits when considered in the context of an already degenerating AD brain. In conclusion, preclinical behavioral studies that led to the successful development of cholinomimetic treatments were mainly restricted to pharmacological

(scopolamine) and lesion (NBM electrolytic and non-specific neurotoxin) models. Transgenic mouse model of AD, nowadays widely used for preclinical studies, was introduced later.

As AD is primarily characterized by memory deficits, the animal models of cholinergic dysfunction were often tested for cognitive deficits on two main forms of memory affected in early AD: short term memory for events and spatial memory. Short-term memory was mostly evaluated using discrete trials characterized by particular trial-specific information to be recalled in various types of tasks taxing working memory, recognition memory or episodic-like memory (Bartus and Dean, 1988; Rupniak et al., 1990; Dawson and Iversen, 1993; Luine et al., 2002; Prickaerts et al., 2005; Tronche et al., 2010). A particular attention was drawn to the rate of forgetting for recent event as immediate memory is typically not affected in aging and early AD, whereas delayed recall performance is expected to show accelerated decline. As for spatial navigation memory, it is usually tested in radial, Barnes or Morris water maze tasks (Hodges et al., 1990; Kwo-On-Yuen et al., 1990; Cheng et al., 1996), sometimes with an additional working memory component (Sweeney et al., 1988; Marighetto et al., 2008; but see Riekkinen, Aaltonen, et al., 1991). As evidently expected, both types of task are very sensitive to central cholinergic dysfunctions (Whishaw et al., 1985). Again, commonalties in the nature of memory impairments in early AD and healthy elderly, as well as in aged animals and models of AD, led to the use of aged animals to test the efficacy of cholinomimetic candidates (Bartus and Dean, 1988). Hence, although not in full agreement with the previously described task requirements, some of the most popular rodent memory tasks at that time, such as passive and active avoidance paradigms, were also used with some success in preclinical approaches mainly because testing parameters were set so that recent memory performance decayed rapidly. These tasks similarly highlighted a sensitivity of the memory performance to cholinergic modulation and aging in rodents (e.g., Flood et al., 1985). Finally, attentional paradigms were often included in the behavioral battery of tasks used to evaluate candidate drugs. Indeed, improvements of attention performance have been reported in AD patients treated with

cholinomimetics (e.g., Alhainen, Helkala, & Riekkinen, 1993). A similar enhancement of visuospatial attention by cholinergic drugs has been repeatedly shown in animal studies (Kirkby et al., 1996; Lindner et al., 2006), confirming a putative role of the basal forebrain cholinergic neurons in some forms of attentional functions critical to visuospatial tests (Robbins et al., 1989; Chiba et al., 1999).

New animal models of AD were also created in light of the second major hypothesis of AD etiology. Ten years after the cholinergic hypothesis proposal and shortly before approval of the first AChEI, the amyloid cascade hypothesis indeed postulated that A β accumulation was the primary event in AD pathogenesis (Hardy and Higgins, 1992). This hypothesis has since drawn impressive effort in fundamental research as well as in the developments of drugs aimed at reducing A β accumulation. It prompted the emergence of models of AD based on intracerebral injection of A β , and other even more popular approaches that benefited from the transgenic mouse revolution. After several attempts based on APP knock-outs or normal human APP transgene, two mouse lines transgenic for APP FAD mutated genes finally developed the amyloid plaques which were the gold standard for an animal model at the time (Hsiao et al., 1995; Masliah et al., 1996). Since then, several other transgenic mouse and rat models have integrated human mutated tau and/or FAD mutations (Puzzo et al., 2014). Besides showing tau abnormalities, neuroinflammation and synaptic defects reminiscent from those found in AD, most of these models have displayed perturbations of the basal forebrain cholinergic system, although only very few models suffer from clear cholinergic neuronal loss (Cassel et al., 2008; Belarbi et al., 2011). The same is true for the temporal lobe in which only limited degeneration has been reported in these models. In general, the lack of massive AD-like neurodegeneration even at the oldest ages is one of the major limits that should be taken into account when interpreting preclinical approaches based on these models (Ashe and Zahs, 2010). The pattern of cognitive impairment is reminiscent of the one found in AD: most models show deficits in working memory, recognition memory, spatial navigation memory, and even in the newly developed episodic-like memory tasks (Webster et al., 2014). Surprisingly, no publication has yet

reported deficits in transgenic or other AD models in pattern separation tasks, despite their high sensitivity to cognitive decline in normal aging and early stages of AD in humans, and to cholinergic treatment in animals (Holden and Gilbert, 2012; Van Goethem et al., 2015). More importantly though, various AChEIs used in the primary AD models were successful in transgenic models (Dong et al., 2005), proving thereby the validity of these rodent models for the development of new cholinergic based therapies.

Besides, animal models should also be employed to resolve open questions on AD which appear critical for the future development of cholinomimetic drugs. The first fundamental issue relates to the existence of responders and non-responders to AChEI treatments. The origin of these individual differences might depend on general factors like estrogens or the genotype (Craig et al., 2011). Such inter-individual variability in response to these treatments has been known for a long time in humans as well as in animals (Bartus, 1979; Davis et al., 1979), but the underlying mechanisms are unknown. Animal studies should certainly help to clarify this issue by exploring its potential physiological basis. It has been suggested that the efficacy of AChEIs could be related to the level of impairment or neurodegeneration of the subject as shown in aged rats (Stemmelin et al., 1998; Dumas and Newhouse, 2011). The work of Connelly *et al* (2005) tends to confirm this interpretation as AChEI non-responders show higher atrophy of the medial temporal lobe. Based on a morphometric indicator, the extent of cholinergic degeneration of the NBM has been inversely correlated with the magnitude of response to treatment with AChEI in AD patients (Tanaka et al., 2003). These results suggest that AChEI responders benefit from the prolonged availability of ACh because cholinergic innervation within target structures decreases as basal forebrain atrophy progresses. Also, the genetic risk factor *APOE4* has been associated with both reduced hippocampal cholinergic markers and unresponsiveness to AChEI in AD patients (Farlow et al., 1996; but see Waring et al., 2015). Recently, we highlighted a potential mechanism by which *APOE4* could disrupt AChEI response. By mimicking the earliest stage of AD with a partial entorhinal cortex lesion in mice, we

have shown the extraordinary ability of the mammalian brain to normalize lesion-induced spatial memory deficit and hippocampal neuronal hyper-activity through the compensatory hippocampal cholinergic sprouting in response to entorhinal cell loss (Bott et al., *in press*). This cholinergic sprouting transiently increased the territory of septo-hippocampal cholinergic innervation in the whole hippocampus before glutamatergic reinnervation occurred and seemed to take over for functional recovery. Interestingly, the cholinergic sprouting was abolished in mice expressing the human *APOE4* allele, but not in those expressing the *APOE3* allele, which may explain several negative effects of *APOE4* such as marked hippocampal hyperactivity (Filippini et al., 2009) and reduced responsiveness to AChEI in AD patients (Farlow et al., 1996). Moreover, it is noteworthy that reactive cholinergic sprouting and glutamatergic reinnervation do exist within the hippocampus in response to entorhinal cortex pathology in early stages of the disease (Geddes et al., 1985; Ikonovic et al., 2003). Another study in monkeys has recently shown that cholinergic innervation within the temporal lobe cortex facilitates functional recovery after structural lesions impairing episodic memory performances (Croxxon et al., 2012). Taken together, these animal studies confirm that the cholinergic system plays an important role in brain compensatory mechanisms relevant to AD. These are worth being further explored experimentally with the aim of developing interventions favoring or mimicking natural defenses of the brain against the disease. Another example of cholinomimetic-relevant issue that should benefit from investigations in animals is the characterization of pharmacological activities, apart from AChE inhibition, of some clinical drugs which could play a role in their beneficial effects in AD (Wilkinson et al., 2004). For example, inhibition of BuChE (Cheng et al., 1996) as well as the stimulating effect on nicotinic receptors density or NMDA receptor activity in key structures for memory may contribute to these effects (Barnes et al., 2000). Altogether, these examples demonstrate how critical animal studies can be in the future to improve our understanding of the mechanisms involved in AChEI responsiveness and to characterize more precisely the role of the basal forebrain cholinergic neurons in the earliest stages of AD.

3. Renewed interest for the cholinergic system in the development of Alzheimer's disease

It is obvious these days that the ACh deficit associated to the degeneration of the cholinergic basal forebrain neurons cannot be the single cause of AD as proposed initially in the cholinergic hypothesis. This is mainly because treatments increasing the cholinergic drive do not halt the pathological process (Raschetti et al., 2007; Schneider et al., 2014) and other cerebral structures involved in memory, such as the entorhinal cortex, were found to degenerate at least as early as the basal forebrain (Kordower et al., 2001). In the meantime, the major AD features, namely soluble forms of A β and tau, have been put forward as responsible for the development of the disease. ACh-related deficits were progressively relegated to a mere consequence of these proteomic-related events. However, despite considerable research effort, evidence for A β - and/or tau-related abnormalities as being the cause of AD still remains inconclusive as corresponding treatments have failed so far. Due to this dramatic difficulty to identify the causes of AD, the cholinergic hypothesis is now going through a renewal period inscribed within the concept of AD being a more complex and multifactorial disease in which cholinergic deficits represent only one aspect of the pathogenesis. For example, a recent view postulates that AD is primarily a hippocampal dementia resulting from a combination of factors not necessarily identical in all patients (Craig et al., 2011). In this context, cholinergic depletion, already present in the elderly, is seen as a risk factor of AD interacting with other risk factors like stress or injury. As the occurrence of such circumstances becomes more frequent with age, an increasingly large combination of them would ultimately disrupt cognitive and structural compensatory mechanisms which are normally engaged to cope with brain dysfunctions and damages. This would favor the conversion to AD.

Cholinergic deficits, not the sole cause of AD anymore, could nonetheless heavily contribute to the disease progression. Recent findings indicate that atrophy of the cholinergic basal forebrain begins during normal aging and is aggravated in patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI, a prodromal stage of AD) (Grothe et al., 2012). It thus appears at an earlier stage than initially thought and progresses in parallel to cortical atrophy (Kilimann et al., 2016). Indeed, early atrophies of both the NBM and of cortical structures of the temporal lobe were shown to be associated with impaired delayed recall in MCI patients (Grothe et al., 2010). Reduction in basal forebrain volume also contributes to spatial navigation deficits in AD patients (Kerbler et al., 2015). Interestingly, hippocampal atrophy in amnesic MCI patients (i.e., likely to become AD) was slowed down by a one-year donepezil treatment (Dubois et al., 2015). Note however that no cognitive improvement ensued, similarly to clinical trials testing the preventive effects of AChEIs on MCI patients' cognition (Schneider et al., 2014). This highlights that early cholinergic deficits cannot entirely elucidate the AD pathogenesis. Long-term cholinergic depletion has nonetheless recently been shown to alter gene expression of some transcripts related to the AD pathology in the hippocampus of aged mice with a knockout of hippocampal vesicular acetylcholine transporter (Kolisnyk et al., 2016). These mice displayed age-related changes in APP processing, tau hyperphosphorylation, hippocampal neuronal loss and synaptic abnormalities, as well as cognitive deficits.

Thus, early cholinergic deficits appear to have long-term consequences on the integrity of innervated systems. This could be explained by the neuroprotective action of the cholinergic system against several AD neuropathological events such as neuro-inflammation, A β accumulation and abnormal tau phosphorylation (Ovsepian et al., 2015; Echeverria et al., 2016). For instance, cholinergic receptors possess a high affinity for A β or tau protein whose binding induce some intracellular mechanisms controlling further production of these ligands (Ovsepian et al., 2015). M1 receptors activation promotes non-amyloidogenic cleavage of APP via the modulation of major APP-cleaving proteases (Davis et al., 2010). Tau phosphorylation is also regulated by cholinergic receptors, decreased and increased by

mAChRs and nAChRs activation respectively (Caccamo et al., 2006; Buckingham et al., 2009). Basal forebrain cholinergic terminals expressing p75 neurotrophic receptors would also play a special role in clearing A β via its degradation after endocytosis (Ovsepian et al., 2015).

In addition to this neuroprotective action, there are several indications that the cholinergic system undergoes adaptive changes in cognitively important target structures like the hippocampus, as previously evoked (Bott et al., *in press*; Mufson et al., 2016), or the frontal cortex (DeKosky et al., 2002; Ikonomic et al., 2003). In human studies, these changes were mainly represented by increased ChAT activity, which was interpreted as resulting from a transient compensatory sprouting by the remaining cholinergic terminals in the hippocampus following entorhinal disconnection, and more probably an up-regulation of the enzymatic activity in the frontal cortex (Mufson et al., 2016). Another example of cholinergic activity adaptability can be found in the rapidly increased production of an AChE variant following low levels of A β (Li et al., 2013). This variant is more frequent under stress conditions, helping neuroprotection, neural development and possibly ACh release. Besides, ACh itself can favor various forms of compensatory neuronal plasticity outside the sprouting described above: for example, dendritic branching (Mufson et al., 2016), neurogenesis (Kotani et al., 2006) and synaptic plasticity (e.g., Rasmusson, 2000). At a more functional level, the cholinergic system appears to be involved in the capacity of the brain to shift on alternative neuronal networks to offset the fornix degeneration and maintain visual recall memory performances (Ray et al., 2015). Indeed ACh is known to promote attention, memory and cognitive flexibility by supporting the compensatory engagement of frontal regions following AD-related degeneration of more posterior structures (Dumas and Newhouse, 2011; Hasselmo and Sarter, 2011). Moreover, increased reorganization of glutamatergic terminals has been shown in regions similar to those sustaining cholinergic plasticity, and in MCI more than in AD (Mufson et al., 2016). This may explain why AChEI/memantine combined therapies have some beneficial effects (Dantoine et al., 2006). In any case, there is clearly a need to better understand mechanisms and factors

involved in cholinergic and glutamatergic reactive neuroplasticity as they may efficiently delay a critical shift to heavier cognitive impairments associated with a serious degradation of the quality of life of the patients and their entourage. Better knowing the delicate equilibrium preserving cognitive performance and developing means to prolong this “compensated” state would open a larger time-window for symptomatic and possibly disease-modifying therapies.

4. The future of cholinergic drugs

Establishing the time line of the various subtle cholinergic dysfunctions should further suggest more specific therapies than the AChEIs used so far, possibly adapted to each stage of the disease. It is thus not surprising that, despite the modest (but consistent; Schneider et al., 2014) symptomatic benefits of AChEIs and the rise of alternative hypotheses about AD pathogenesis and etiology, cholinergic therapies are still relevant and actively researched. Presenting the numerous new cholinergic and non-cholinergic molecules currently tested *in vivo*, *in vitro* or even *in silico* is beyond the scope of this review. The following sections aim only at providing a brief perspective on the main cholinergic AD therapies in preparation, starting with the AChEI drug category.

4.1. Future of AChEIs

The most straightforward option for treating AD is to improve the AChEIs. Many compounds with more potent AChEI properties are currently being developed, either extracted from natural products (e.g., coumarins, flavonoid derivatives) or computationally designed (Anand et al., 2014; Kumar et al., 2016).

Research for newer drugs in AD therapy is however a complex process in which it is certainly difficult to take the multitude of factors involved in the disease. In terms of the AChEI action, at least four aspects should be considered.

First, the inhibition of the AChE could be more efficient by blocking both the catalytic and the peripheral sites of AChE. Except donepezil, the AChEI drugs used in therapies bind only to the catalytic site (Ismaili et al., 2016). This pharmacological aspect has been explored actively for the last decade and more recent molecules like donepezil-tacrine hybrids, coumarins and huperzine A often act as dual binding site inhibitors (Ismaili et al., 2016; Kumar et al., 2016). Interestingly, positive activity of the peripheral anionic site on the prevention of A β aggregation should be taken into consideration in the development of new AChEIs (Inestrosa et al., 1996).

Second, various forms of cholinesterase enzymes exist, with different locations and functions (Zimmermann, 2013). For example, AChE and BuChE differ mostly by their location and their affinity for ACh (Unzeta et al., 2016) but BuChE might compensate for the loss of AChE in AD (Greig et al., 2005). In severe cases of the disease, AChE expression is indeed decreased while that of BuChE is increased (Reid et al., 2013). Although no differences in clinical outcomes have yet been observed between AChE-selective (e.g., donepezil) and less selective drugs (e.g., rivastigmine inhibits both AChE and BuChE; Hogan, 2014), developing drugs inhibiting both enzymes might thus prove useful (Zimmermann, 2013). Overall, the existence of various forms of cholinesterase enzymes should be considered in the design of more efficient drugs.

Third, the spatial selectivity of AChEI action should be better controlled. The systemic administration of the current AChEIs makes it difficult to target their action to the brain and, more importantly, to areas affected by cholinergic depletion. One of the main risks is to trigger excessive upregulation of the cholinergic tone in relatively preserved areas like the striatum. This could have potentially undesirable

consequences such as basal ganglia-related motor disorders that are rarely induced by AChEIs alone but may occur more frequently when combined with antipsychotic drugs in some AD prescriptions (Shimizu et al., 2015). In order to boost their spatial efficacy, AChEI treatment could be combined with a localized electrical stimulation of the NBM neurons (Gratwicke et al., 2013). Such therapeutic tool could also ultimately answer to another issue of AChEI drugs: their temporal dynamics.

Indeed, a fourth area of improvement relates to the poor temporal resolution of AChEI treatment. The fundamental mechanism of action of these drugs consists in extending the availability of released ACh over longer periods than normal. On top of maybe transiently reducing the probability of further ACh release due to a higher likelihood of presynaptic autoreceptors activation, this could mask incoming phasic cholinergic signal onto postsynaptic neurons (Dumas and Newhouse, 2011; Hasselmo and Sarter, 2011). This is especially important because ACh function would differ depending on the time scale of its release, phasic or tonic (Hasselmo and Sarter, 2011). Indeed, these two modes of ACh release are each known to contribute in a specific way to learning and memory processes. As suggested above, brain stimulation could help mastering temporal dynamics of ACh availability but the step to the clinical trials is far from now. It is noteworthy that such considerations on cholinergic dynamics appear more adapted for symptomatic therapies but of limited interest for disease modifying therapies.

The above considerations suggest ways of improving AChEI drugs, with some being already actively explored. The most notable development in the domain of AChEI drugs is nonetheless the combination of their AChEI action with other beneficial effects from non-cholinergic drugs (e.g., anti-amyloid, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory). Given the multifactorial nature of the AD pathology, the concurrent use of an AChEI and another drug has already showed interesting results. Indeed, memantine, a drug targeting primarily NMDA receptors, is now sometimes successfully administered alongside an AChEI drug (Dantoine et al., 2006). More recently it has been shown that the serotonergic antagonist

idalopirdine can potentiate the pro-cognitive effect of donepezil in moderate AD patients (Wilkinson et al., 2014). Several preclinical investigations also explored the benefits of various combinations of existing therapeutics in mouse models of AD (Jacobsen et al., 2014; Chumakov et al., 2015). Nowadays, drug combination is taken further by creating single molecules possessing the effects of several drugs acting simultaneously on different targets. This should lead to a lower risk of drug interactions, an easier control of the pharmacokinetics and an easier treatment compliance given a simpler drug schedule. Unsurprisingly, several of such 'multi-target directed ligands' are based on classic AChEI molecules like donepezil (Agis-Torres et al., 2014; Ismaili et al., 2016; Unzeta et al., 2016). For example, donecopride is a promising AChEI-serotonergic antagonist hybrid molecule that can counteract scopolamine-induced amnesia in a working memory task (Rochais et al., 2015) and enhance object recognition memory (Lecoutey et al., 2014) in mice.

In conclusion, as the main option for AD treatment, drugs offering an AChEI action are still actively developed. However, increasing their potency is not simply a question of improving the level and duration of ACh availability. On top of combining complementary neuroprotective influences, either intrinsic to AChE or by combining compounds acting on different systems, future AChEI drugs should ideally display an adequate targeting of the cholinesterase enzymes hopefully alongside a refined spatio-temporal dynamics.

4.2. An old alternative: targeting cholinergic receptors

Alternatively to reducing the degradation rate of ACh, the activity elicited by the cholinergic system can be modulated via its target receptors. The nicotinic cholinergic receptors (nAChRs) are ionotropic receptors displaying a fast activation time adapted for mediating phasic release-associated cholinergic functions. As muscarinic cholinergic receptors (mAChRs; five subtypes: M1-5) are metabotropic, being

coupled with a G protein, they show a slower action but also longer-lasting, which is maybe more adapted to cholinergic functions mediated through tonic release.

Muscarinic receptors

M1 receptors are the most promising cholinergic targets for AD (Foster et al., 2014). M2, M3 and M4 receptors should not be targeted to avoid psychotic or peripheral side effects (Bymaster et al., 2003; Foster et al., 2014). M1 receptors are involved in cognition and underlying mechanisms (e.g., Anagnostaras et al., 2003; Dennis et al., 2016). Highly selective M1 agonists have been available only recently and their efficacy is still being assessed. Overall, they induce pro-cognitive effects in rodents (Ma et al., 2009; Lebois et al., 2010; Digby et al., 2012; Melancon et al., 2013), AD-model mice (Shirey et al., 2009) or humans (Nathan et al., 2013; Schneider et al., 2014). As M1 receptor density is also relatively preserved in AD (Mulugeta et al., 2003), drugs activating M1 receptors are overall less dependent on the current state of cholinergic neurodegeneration. It could be hypothesized that direct agonists, by binding to the same site than ACh (i.e., orthosteric site), could be more helpful late in the pathology when ACh is in short supply (Jiang et al., 2014). Alternatively, positive allosteric modulators offer several advantages thanks to their binding to a different site (i.e., allosteric) of M1 receptors than ACh (Melancon et al., 2013; Foster et al., 2014). First, at earlier stages of AD, these molecules might be better suited because instead of replacing ACh, they will potentiate the effect of its natural release (Jiang et al., 2014; Kruse et al., 2014). Second, these drugs would also respect the dynamics of the cholinergic signaling, which partly answers to above considerations for improving the temporal selectivity of the AChEIs. In addition to their symptomatic action, M1 receptor agonists are very promising because they have a neuroprotective, disease-modifying potential by reducing tau phosphorylation and production of A β (e.g., Beach et al., 2001; Caccamo et al., 2006). As A β can disrupt the M1 receptor function, M1-

mediated reduction of A β levels may initiate an interesting beneficial positive feedback loop (Fisher, 2012).

Nicotinic receptors

Along the development of AD, the cortical and hippocampal expression of several types of nAChRs is reduced (Guan et al., 2000; Sabbagh et al., 2006). Among those, $\alpha 7$ receptors are of particular interest because they participate in attentional and mnemonic functions, as well as in synaptic plasticity (Fisher, 2012; Lombardo and Maskos, 2015; Echeverria et al., 2016). Furthermore, neurons expressing these receptors are especially vulnerable to AD (D'Andrea and Nagele, 2006) presumably via a “switch position” depending on A β levels (Buckingham et al., 2009; Ovsepian et al., 2015; Echeverria et al., 2016). At low dose, A β would activate $\alpha 7$ receptors and trigger neuroprotective intracellular mechanisms, while a higher concentration would prompt alternative intracellular pathways leading to neurotoxicity. Unlike M1 receptors though, $\alpha 7$ receptors might not protect against, but actually enhance, tau phosphorylation (Fisher, 2012). Therefore, despite some pro-cognitive effects observed in animals and humans (Hilt et al., 2009; Echeverria et al., 2011), the net effect of both beneficial and detrimental actions of $\alpha 7$ agonists is unclear (Anand et al., 2014). Several molecules are currently under test but $\alpha 7$ receptor-based therapies might yield less promising results than their M1 counterparts. In general, it must be recognized that recent clinical trials with cholinergic compounds have been disappointing at the level of efficiency on cognitive symptoms as well as undesirable side effects (Lewis et al., 2017; McArthur et al., 2010). This certainly emphasizes that we need a better understanding of the translational gap for therapeutics targeting nicotinic and muscarinic receptors.

5. As a conclusion, specific progresses are needed on early stages of AD

A convincing and exhaustive story of the etiology of AD has not been reached yet, supporting a multifactorial view of this disease. Cholinergic cells loss was first relegated to a late-phase consequence of the condition, whereas current research has revealed a multitude of plastic changes taking place much before the first overt cognitive symptoms. Clearly, several of these changes are of a cholinergic nature and seem to underlie compensatory mechanisms that efficiently delay conversion to AD. Unfortunately, advancing degeneration of the cholinergic basal forebrain progressively dismantles the compensatory mechanisms, mainly in the hippocampus and the cortex. Based on research in MCI and AD patients, it is however difficult to unravel the diversity and the exact role of cholinergic changes engaged in the maintenance of cognitive functions given the concomitance of amyloid and tau pathologies and their complex mutual interactions. Animal studies will certainly offer some valuable insight on this issue using, this time as a clear advantage, the large diversity of rodent models mimicking only limited aspects of early phases of this complex disease (Ashe and Zahs, 2010). Besides the study of cholinergic responses to the disease, there is also a crucial need to uncover the timeline and the nature of the 'precocious' deficits of the cholinergic system during normal aging (e.g., Schliebs and Arendt, 2011) as it is undeniably implicated in some aspects of AD etiology (disease superimposed on cholinergic decline) and the progression of the disease. In this regard, it would also be of great interest to study the cholinergic system in light of concepts such as brain resilience and cognitive reserve (e.g., Stern, 2012) focusing on factors leading to constitutively higher neuronal and/or synaptic density or increased ability to recruit alternative brain circuits. Both properties should alleviate the cognitive symptoms in AD and delay the conversion of MCI to AD (Mufson et al., 2016). Studies in rodents raised in enriched environments have already provided some interesting data showing a preventive effect of life enrichment on the cholinergic basal forebrain (Harati et al., 2013). Finally, characterizations of early clinical subgroups should be associated with the development of corresponding biological and cognitive biomarkers of easy use in

clinical settings. Tremendous technical progress has been made in this domain during the last few years regarding the detection of A β or cholinergic nuclei atrophy levels via structural imagery in humans, but non-invasive markers of the cholinergic activity, possibly more important than purely structural markers, are lacking. The battery of cognitive tasks used to categorize the patients as NCI, MCI or AD could be refined by the inclusion of new paradigms like pattern separation tasks (Stark et al., 2013). Functional markers of the cholinergic state could be further highlighted when combining these tasks with functional imagery techniques. Electroencephalography, especially, holds some interesting potential by allowing detection of frontal and hippocampal theta oscillations abnormalities during early phases of cognitive decline (Hamm et al., 2015).

Acknowledgments:

CM is supported by academic fundings from the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and the University of Strasbourg. VD is funded by a post-doctoral fellowship IdEx 2016 from the University of Strasbourg.

References

- Agis-Torres A, Sölhuber M, Fernandez M, Sanchez-Montero JM (2014). Multi-Target-Directed Ligands and other Therapeutic Strategies in the Search of a Real Solution for Alzheimer's Disease. *Curr Neuropharmacol.* **12**:2–36.
- Alhainen K, Helkala EL, Riekkinen P (1993). Psychometric discrimination of tetrahydroaminoacridine responders in Alzheimer patients. *Dementia.* **4**:54–8.
- Almkvist O (1996). Neuropsychological features of early Alzheimer's disease: Preclinical and clinical stages. *Acta Neurol Scand.* **94**:63–71.
- Anagnostaras SG, Murphy GG, Hamilton SE, Mitchell SL, Rahnema NP, Nathanson NM, Silva AJ (2003). Selective cognitive dysfunction in acetylcholine M1 muscarinic receptor mutant mice. *Nat Neurosci.* **6**:51–8.
- Anand R, Gill KD, Mahdi AA (2014). Therapeutics of Alzheimer's disease: Past, present and future. *Neuropharmacology.* **76**:27–50.
- Ashe KH, Zahs KR (2010). Probing the Biology of Alzheimer's Disease in Mice. *Neuron.* **66**:631–45.
- Barnes CA, Meltzer J, Houston F, Orr G, McGann K, Wenk GL (2000). Chronic treatment of old rats with donepezil or galantamine: Effects on memory, hippocampal plasticity and nicotinic receptors. *Neuroscience.* **99**:17–23.
- Bartus RT (1979). Physostigmine and recent memory: effects in young and aged nonhuman primates. *Science.* **206**:1087–9.
- Bartus RT, Dean RL (1988). Tetrahydroaminoacridine, 3,4 diaminopyridine and physostigmine: Direct comparison of effects on memory in aged primates. *Neurobiol Aging.* **9**:351–6.

Bartus RT, Dean RL, Beer B, Lippa AS (1982). The cholinergic hypothesis of geriatric memory dysfunction. *Science*. **217**:408–14.

Beach TG, Walker DG, Potter PE, Sue LI, Fisher A. 2001. Reduction of cerebrospinal fluid amyloid beta after systemic administration of M1 muscarinic agonists. *Brain Res*. **905**:220–3.

Belarbi K, Burnouf S, Fernandez-Gomez F-J, Desmercières J, Troquier L, Brouillette J, Tsambou L, et al. (2011). Loss of Medial Septum Cholinergic Neurons in THY-Tau22 Mouse Model: What Links with tau Pathology? *Curr Alzheimer Res*. **8**:633–8.

Bott J-B, Héraud C, Cosquer B, Herbeaux K, Aubert J, Sartori M, Goutagny R, et al. (2016). APOE-sensitive cholinergic sprouting compensates hippocampal dysfunctions due to reduced entorhinal input. *J Neurosci*. **36**:10472–6.

Bowen DM, Smith CB, White P, Davison AN (1976). Neurotransmitter-related enzymes and indices of hypoxia in senile dementia and other abiotrophies. *Brain*. **99**:459–96.

Buckingham SD, Jones AK, Brown LA, Sattelle DB (2009). Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptor Signalling: Roles in Alzheimer's Disease and Amyloid Neuroprotection. *Pharmacol Rev*. **61**:39–61.

Bymaster FP, Carter PA, Yamada M, Gomeza J, Wess J, Hamilton SE, Nathanson NM, et al. (2003). Role of specific muscarinic receptor subtypes in cholinergic parasympathomimetic responses, in vivo phosphoinositide hydrolysis, and pilocarpine-induced seizure activity. *Eur J Neurosci*. **17**:1403–10.

Caccamo A, Oddo S, Billings LM, Green KN, Martinez-Coria H, Fisher A, LaFerla FM (2006). M1 receptors play a central role in modulating AD-like pathology in transgenic mice. *Neuron*. **49**:671–82.

Cassel J-C, Mathis C, Majchrzak M, Moreau P-H, Dalrymple-Alford JC (2008). Coexisting cholinergic and parahippocampal degeneration: a key to memory loss in dementia and a challenge for transgenic

models? *Neurodegener Dis.* **5**:304–17.

Cheng DH, Ren H, Tang XC (1996). Huperzine A, a novel promising acetylcholinesterase inhibitor. *Neuroreport.* **8**:97–101.

Chiba AA, Bushnell PJ, Oshiro WM, Gallagher M (1999). Selective removal of cholinergic neurons in the basal forebrain alters cued target detection. *Neuroreport.* **10**:3119–23.

Chumakov I, Nabirotkin S, Cholet N, Milet A, Boucard A, Toulorge D, Pereira Y, et al. (2015). Combining two repurposed drugs as a promising approach for Alzheimer's disease therapy. *Sci Rep.* **5**:7608.

Connelly PJ, Prentice NP, Fowler KG (2005). Predicting the outcome of cholinesterase inhibitor treatment in Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* **76**:320–4.

Courtney C, Farrell D, Gray R, Hills R, Lynch L, Sellwood E, Edwards S, et al. (2004). Long-term donepezil treatment in 565 patients with Alzheimer's disease (AD2000): randomised double-blind trial. *Lancet.* **363**:2105–15.

Craig LA, Hong NS, McDonald RJ (2011). Revisiting the cholinergic hypothesis in the development of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* **35**:1397–409.

Croxson PL, Browning PGF, Gaffan D, Baxter MG (2012). Acetylcholine facilitates recovery of episodic memory after brain damage. *J Neurosci.* **32**:13787–95.

D'Andrea MR, Nagele RG (2006). Targeting the alpha 7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor to reduce amyloid accumulation in Alzheimer's disease pyramidal neurons. *Curr Pharm Des.* **12**:677–84.

Dantoine T, Auriacombe S, Sarazin M, Becker H, Pere J-J, Bourdeix I (2006). Rivastigmine monotherapy and combination therapy with memantine in patients with moderately severe Alzheimer's disease who failed to benefit from previous cholinesterase inhibitor treatment. *Int J Clin Pract.* **60**:110–8.

- Davies P, Maloney A (1976). Selective loss of central cholinergic neurons in Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet*. **2**:1403.
- Davis AA, Fritz JJ, Wess JJ, Lah JJ, Levey AI (2010). Deletion of M1 Muscarinic Acetylcholine Receptors Increases Amyloid Pathology In Vitro and In Vivo. *J Neurosci*. **30**:4190–6.
- Davis KL, Mohs RC, Tinklenberg JR (1979). Enhancement of memory by physostigmine. *N Engl J Med*. **301**:946.
- Dawson GR, Iversen SD (1993). The effects of novel cholinesterase inhibitors and selective muscarinic receptor agonists in tests of reference and working memory. *Behav Brain Res*. **57**:143–53.
- DeKosky ST, Ikonomovic MD, Styren SD, Beckett L, Wisniewski S, Bennett DA, Cochran EJ, et al. (2002). Upregulation of choline acetyltransferase activity in hippocampus and frontal cortex of elderly subjects with mild cognitive impairment. *Ann Neurol*. **51**:145–55.
- Dennis SH, Pasqui F, Colvin EM, Sanger H, Mogg AJ, Felder CC, Broad LM, et al. (2016). Activation of Muscarinic M1 Acetylcholine Receptors Induces Long-Term Potentiation in the Hippocampus. *Cereb Cortex*. **26**:414–26.
- Deutsch J (1971). The cholinergic synapse and the site of memory. *Science*. **174**:788–94.
- Digby GJ, Noetzel MJ, Bubser M, Utley TJ, Walker G, Byun NE, Lebois EP, et al. (2012). Novel Allosteric Agonists of M1 Muscarinic Acetylcholine Receptors Induce Brain Region-Specific Responses That Correspond with Behavioral Effects in Animal Models. *J Neurosci*. **32**:8532–44.
- Dong H, Csernansky CA, Martin M V., Bertchume A, Vallera D, Csernansky JG (2005). Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors ameliorate behavioral deficits in the Tg2576 mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Psychopharmacology*. **181**:145–52.

Drachman DA, Leavitt J (1974). Human memory and the cholinergic system. A relationship to aging? *Arch Neurol.* **30**:113–21.

Drachman DA, Sahakian BJ (1980). Memory and cognitive function in the elderly. A preliminary trial of physostigmine. *Arch Neurol.* **37**:674–5.

Dubois B, Chupin M, Hampel H, Lista S, Cavedo E, Croisile B, Louis Tisserand G, et al. (2015). Donepezil decreases annual rate of hippocampal atrophy in suspected prodromal Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's Dement.* **11**:1041–9.

Dumas JA, Newhouse PA (2011). The cholinergic hypothesis of cognitive aging revisited again: cholinergic functional compensation. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* **99**:254–61.

Echeverria V, Yarkov A, Aliev G (2016). Positive modulators of the $\alpha 7$ nicotinic receptor against neuroinflammation and cognitive impairment in Alzheimer's disease. *Prog Neurobiol.*

Echeverria V, Zeitlin R, Burgess S, Patel S, Barman A, Thakur G, Mamcarz M, et al. (2011). Cotinine reduces amyloid- β aggregation and improves memory in Alzheimer's disease mice. *J Alzheimers Dis.* **24**:817–35.

Farlow MR, Lahiri DK, Poirier J, Davignon J, Hui S (1996). Apolipoprotein E genotype and gender influence response to tacrine therapy. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* **802**:101–10.

Filippini N, MacIntosh BJ, Hough MG, Goodwin GM, Frisoni GB, Smith SM, Matthews PM, et al. (2009). Distinct patterns of brain activity in young carriers of the APOE- $\epsilon 4$ allele. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* **106**:7209–14.

Fisher A (2012). Cholinergic modulation of amyloid precursor protein processing with emphasis on M1 muscarinic receptor: Perspectives and challenges in treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurochem.*

120:22–33.

Flood JF, Smith GE, Cherkin A (1985). Memory enhancement: supra-additive effect of subcutaneous cholinergic drug combinations in mice. *Psychopharmacology*. **86**:61–7.

Foster DJ, Choi DL, Jeffrey Conn P, Rook JM (2014). Activation of M1 and M4 muscarinic receptors as potential treatments for Alzheimer's disease and schizophrenia. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. **10**:183–91.

Gallagher M, Colombo PJ (1995). Ageing: the cholinergic hypothesis of cognitive decline. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. **5**:161–8.

Gazova I, Laczó J, Rubinova E, Mokrisova I, Hyncicova E, Andel R, Vyhnaek M, et al. (2013). Spatial navigation in young versus older adults. *Front Aging Neurosci*. **5**: 94.

Geddes JW, Monaghan DT, Cotman CW, Lott IT, Kim RC, Chui HC (1985). Plasticity of hippocampal circuitry in Alzheimer's disease. *Science*. **230**:1179–81.

Geula C, Tokuno H, Hersh L, Mesulam MM (1990). Human striatal cholinergic neurons in development, aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Brain Res*. **508**:310–2.

Gratwicke J, Kahan J, Zrinzo L, Hariz M, Limousin P, Foltynie T, Jahanshahi M (2013). The nucleus basalis of Meynert: A new target for deep brain stimulation in dementia? *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. **37**:2676–88.

Greig NH, Utsuki T, Ingram DK, Wang Y, Pepeu G, Scali C, Yu Q-S, et al. (2005). Selective butyrylcholinesterase inhibition elevates brain acetylcholine, augments learning and lowers Alzheimer -amyloid peptide in rodent. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. **102**:17213–8.

Grothe M, Heinsen H, Teipel SJ (2012). Atrophy of the cholinergic Basal forebrain over the adult age

range and in early stages of Alzheimer's disease. *Biol Psychiatry*. **71**:805–13.

Grothe MJ, Zaborszky L, Atienza M, Gil-Neciga E, Rodriguez-Romero R, Teipel SJ, Amunts K, et al. (2010).

Reduction of basal forebrain cholinergic system parallels cognitive impairment in patients at high risk of developing alzheimer's disease. *Cereb Cortex*. **20**:1685–95.

Guan ZZ, Zhang X, Ravid R, Nordberg A (2000). Decreased protein levels of nicotinic receptor subunits in the hippocampus and temporal cortex of patients with Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurochem*. **74**:237–43.

Hamm V, Héraud C, Cassel J-C, Mathis C, Goutagny R (2015). Precocious Alterations of Brain Oscillatory Activity in Alzheimer's Disease: A Window of Opportunity for Early Diagnosis and Treatment. *Front Cell Neurosci*. **9**:491.

Harati H, Barbelivien A, Herbeaux K, Muller M-A, Engeln M, Kelche C, Cassel J-C, et al. (2013). Lifelong environmental enrichment in rats: impact on emotional behavior, spatial memory vividness, and cholinergic neurons over the lifespan. *Age*. **35**:1027–43.

Hardy JA, Higgins GA (1992). Alzheimer's disease: the amyloid cascade hypothesis. *Science*. **256**:184–5.

Hasselmo ME, Sarter M (2011). Modes and Models of Forebrain Cholinergic Neuromodulation of Cognition. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. **36**:52–73.

Hilt D, Gawryl M, Koenig G (2009). Evp-6124: Safety, Tolerability And Cognitive Effects Of A Novel A7 Nicotinic Receptor Agonist In Alzheimer'S Disease Patients On Stable Donepezil Or Rivastigmine Therapy. *Alzheimer's Dement*. **5**:e32.

Hodges H, Ribeiro AM, Gray JA, Marchbanks RM (1990). Low dose tetrahydroaminoacridine (THA) improves cognitive function but does not affect brain acetylcholine in rats. *Pharmacol Biochem*

Behav. **36**:291–8.

Hogan DB (2014). Long-Term Efficacy and Toxicity of Cholinesterase Inhibitors in the Treatment of Alzheimer Disease. *Can J Psychiatry*. **59**:618–23.

Holden HM, Gilbert PE (2012). Less efficient pattern separation may contribute to age-related spatial memory deficits. *Front Aging Neurosci.* **4**: 1-6.

Hort J, Laczó J, Vyhnálek M, Bojar M, Bureš J, Vlček K (2007). Spatial Navigation Deficit in Amnesic Mild Cognitive Impairment. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* **104**:4042–7.

Hsiao KK, Borchelt DR, Olson K, Johannsdottir R, Kitt C, Yunis W, Xu S, et al. (1995). Age-related CNS disorder and early death in transgenic FVB/N mice overexpressing Alzheimer amyloid precursor proteins. *Neuron.* **15**:1203–18.

Ikonomovic MD, Mufson EJ, Wu J, Cochran EJ, Bennett DA, DeKosky ST (2003). Cholinergic plasticity in hippocampus of individuals with mild cognitive impairment: correlation with Alzheimer's neuropathology. *J Alzheimers Dis.* **5**:39–48.

Inestrosa NC, Alvarez A, Pérez CA, Moreno RD, Vicente M, Linker C, Casanueva OI, et al. (1996). Acetylcholinesterase accelerates assembly of amyloid- β -peptides into Alzheimer's fibrils: Possible role of the peripheral site of the enzyme. *Neuron.* **16**:881–91.

Ismaili L, Refouvelet B, Bencheikroun M, Brogi S, Brindisi M, Gemma S, Campiani G, et al. (2016). Multitarget compounds bearing tacrine- and donepezil-like structural and functional motifs for the potential treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *Prog Neurobiol.*

Jacobsen H, Ozmen L, Caruso A, Narquizian R, Hilpert H, Jacobsen B, Terwel D, et al. (2014). Combined treatment with a BACE inhibitor and anti-A β antibody gantenerumab enhances amyloid reduction

- in APPLondon mice. *J Neurosci.* **34**:11621–30.
- Jellinger K (1988). The pedunculopontine nucleus in Parkinson's disease, progressive supranuclear palsy and Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* **51**:540–3.
- Jiang S, Li Y, Zhang C, Zhao Y, Bu G, Xu H, Zhang YW (2014). M1 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurosci Bull.* **30**:295–307.
- Kalová E, Vlček K, Jarolímová E, Bureš J (2005). Allothetic orientation and sequential ordering of places is impaired in early stages of Alzheimer's disease: corresponding results in real space tests and computer tests. *Behav Brain Res.* **159**:175–86.
- Kerbler GM, Nedelska Z, Fripp J, Laczó J, Vyhnalek M, Lisý J, Hamlin AS, et al. (2015). Basal forebrain atrophy contributes to allocentric navigation impairment in Alzheimer's disease patients. *Front Aging Neurosci.* **7**:185.
- Kilimann I, Hausner L, Fellgiebel A, Filippi M, Würdemann TJ, Heinsen H, Teipel SJ (2016). Parallel Atrophy of Cortex and Basal Forebrain Cholinergic System in Mild Cognitive Impairment. *Cereb Cortex.* 1–8.
- Kirkby DL, Jones DNC, Barnes JC, Higgins GA (1996). Effects of anticholinesterase drugs tacrine and E2020, the 5-HT(3) antagonist ondansetron, and the H(3) antagonist thioperamide, in models of cognition and cholinergic function. *Behav Pharmacol.* **7**:513–25.
- Kitt CA, Höhmann C, Coyle JT, Price DL (1994). Cholinergic innervation of mouse forebrain structures. *J Comp Neurol.* **341**:117–29.
- Kolisnyk B, Al-Onaizi M, Soreq L, Barbash S, Bekenstein U, Haberman N, Hanin G, et al. (2016). Cholinergic Surveillance over Hippocampal RNA Metabolism and Alzheimer's-Like Pathology. *Cereb Cortex.* bhw177.

- Kordower JH, Chu Y, Stebbins GT, DeKosky ST, Cochran EJ, Bennett D, Mufson EJ (2001). Loss and atrophy of layer II entorhinal cortex neurons in elderly people with mild cognitive impairment. *Ann Neurol.* **49**:202–13.
- Kotani S, Yamauchi T, Teramoto T, Ogura H (2006). Pharmacological evidence of cholinergic involvement in adult hippocampal neurogenesis in rats. *Neuroscience.* **142**:505–14.
- Kruse AC, Kobilka BK, Gautam D, Sexton PM, Christopoulos A, Wess J (2014). Muscarinic acetylcholine receptors: novel opportunities for drug development. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* **13**:549–60.
- Kumar A, Nisha CM, Silakari C, Sharma I, Anusha K, Gupta N, Nair P, et al. (2016). Current and novel therapeutic molecules and targets in Alzheimer's disease. *J Formos Med Assoc.* **115**:3–10.
- Kwo-On-Yuen PF, Mandel R, Chen AD, Thal LJ (1990). Tetrahydroaminoacridine improves the spatial acquisition deficit produced by nucleus basalis lesions in rats. *Exp Neurol.* **108**:221–8.
- Lebois EP, Bridges TM, Lewis LM, Dawson ES, Kane AS, Xiang Z, Jadhav SB, et al. (2010). Discovery and characterization of novel subtype-selective allosteric agonists for the investigation of M(1) receptor function in the central nervous system. *ACS Chem Neurosci.* **1**:104–21.
- Lecoutey C, Hedou D, Freret T, Giannoni P, Gaven F, Since M, Bouet V, et al. (2014). Design of donecopride, a dual serotonin subtype 4 receptor agonist/acetylcholinesterase inhibitor with potential interest for Alzheimer's disease treatment. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* **111**:3825–30.
- Lewis AS, van Schalkwyk GI, Bloch MH (2017) 2Alpha-7 nicotinic agonists for cognitive deficits in neuropsychiatric disorders: A translational meta-analysis of rodent and human studies. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry.* **75**:45-53.

- Li G, Klein J, Zimmermann M (2013). Pathophysiological amyloid concentrations induce sustained upregulation of readthrough acetylcholinesterase mediating anti-apoptotic effects. *Neuroscience*. **240**:349–60.
- Lindner MD, Hogan JB, Hodges DB, Orie AF, Chen P, Corsa J a, Leet JE, et al. (2006). Donepezil primarily attenuates scopolamine-induced deficits in psychomotor function, with moderate effects on simple conditioning and attention, and small effects on working memory and spatial mapping. *Psychopharmacology*. **188**:629–40.
- Lombardo S, Maskos U (2015). Role of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor in Alzheimer's disease pathology and treatment. *Neuropharmacology*. **96**:255–62.
- Luine VN, Mohan G, Tu Z, Efange SMN (2002). Chromaprolone and Chromaperidine, nicotine agonists, and Donepezil, cholinesterase inhibitor, enhance performance of memory tasks in ovariectomized rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*. **74**:213–20.
- Ma L, Seager MA, Seager M, Wittmann M, Jacobson M, Bickel D, Burno M, et al. (2009). Selective activation of the M1 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor achieved by allosteric potentiation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. **106**:15950–5.
- McArthur RA, Gray J, Schreiber R (2010) Cognitive effects of muscarinic M1 functional agonists in non-human primates and clinical trials. *Curr Opin Investig Drugs*. **11**:740-60.
- Marighetto A, Valerio S, Desmedt A, Philippin JN, Trocmé-Thibierge C, Morain P (2008). Comparative effects of the alpha7 nicotinic partial agonist, S24795, and the cholinesterase inhibitor, donepezil, against aging-related deficits in declarative and working memory in mice. *Psychopharmacology*. **197**:499–508.
- Masliah E, Sisk A, Mallory M, Mucke L, Schenk D, Games D (1996). Comparison of neurodegenerative

pathology in transgenic mice overexpressing V717F beta-amyloid precursor protein and Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurosci.* **16**:5795–811.

Melancon BJ, Tarr JC, Panarese JD, Wood MR, Lindsley CW (2013). Allosteric modulation of the M1 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor: improving cognition and a potential treatment for schizophrenia and Alzheimer's disease. *Drug Discov Today.* **18**:1185–99.

Mesulam MM, Hersh LB, Mash DC, Geula C (1992). Differential cholinergic innervation within functional subdivisions of the human cerebral cortex: a choline acetyltransferase study. *J Comp Neurol.* **318**:316–28.

Mesulam MM, Mufson EJ, Wainer BH, Levey AI (1983). Central cholinergic pathways in the rat: An overview based on an alternative nomenclature (Ch1-Ch6). *Neuroscience.* **10**:1185–201.

Meyers B, Domino EF (1964). The effect of cholinergic blocking drugs on spontaneous alternation in rats. *Arch Int Pharmacodyn therapie.* **150**:525–9.

Moffat SD (2009). Aging and spatial navigation: What do we know and where do we go? *Neuropsychol Rev.* **19**: 478-89.

Mufson EJ, Ikonomic MD, Counts SE, Perez SE, Malek-Ahmadi M, Scheff SW, Ginsberg SD (2016). Molecular and Cellular Pathophysiology of Preclinical Alzheimer's Disease. *Behav Brain Res.* **311**:54–69.

Mulder J, Harkany T, Czollner K, Cremers TIFH, Keijser JN, Nyakas C, Luiten PGM (2005). Galantamine-induced behavioral recovery after sublethal excitotoxic lesions to the rat medial septum. *Behav Brain Res.* **163**:33–41.

Mulugeta E, Karlsson E, Islam A, Kalaria R, Mangat H, Winblad B, Adem A (2003). Loss of muscarinic M4

receptors in hippocampus of Alzheimer patients. *Brain Res.* **960**:259–62.

Muramoto O, Sugishita M, Sugita H, Toyokura Y (1979). Effect of physostigmine on constructional and memory tasks in Alzheimer's disease. *Arch Neurol.* **36**:501–3.

Nathan PJ, Watson J, Lund J, Davies CH, Peters G, Dodds CM, Swirski B, et al. (2013). The potent M1 receptor allosteric agonist GSK1034702 improves episodic memory in humans in the nicotine abstinence model of cognitive dysfunction. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol.* **16**:721–31.

Ovsepian S V., O'Leary VB, Zaborszky L (2015). Cholinergic Mechanisms in the Cerebral Cortex: Beyond Synaptic Transmission. *Neuroscientist.* **22**:238–51.

Parent MB, Baxter MG (2004). Septohippocampal acetylcholine: involved in but not necessary for learning and memory? *Learn Mem.* **11**:9–20.

Perry EK, Tomlinson BE, Blessed G, Bergmann K, Gibson PH, Perry RH (1978). Correlation of cholinergic abnormalities with senile plaques and mental test scores in senile dementia. *Br Med J.* **2**:1457–9.

Prickaerts J, Şik A, Van Der Staay FJ, De Vente J, Blokland A (2005). Dissociable effects of acetylcholinesterase inhibitors and phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors on object recognition memory: Acquisition versus consolidation. *Psychopharmacology.* **177**:381–90.

Puzzo D, Lee L, Palmeri A, Calabrese G, Arancio O (2014). Behavioral assays with mouse models of Alzheimer's disease: Practical considerations and guidelines. *Biochem Pharmacol.* **88**:450–67.

Raschetti R, Albanese E, Vanacore N, Maggini M (2007). Cholinesterase inhibitors in mild cognitive impairment: A systematic review of randomised trials. *PLoS Med.* **4**:1818–28.

Rasmusson DD (2000). The role of acetylcholine in cortical synaptic plasticity. *Behav Brain Res.* **115**:205-18.

- Ray NJ, Metzler-Baddeley C, Khondoker MR, Grothe MJ, Teipel S, Wright P, Heinsen H, et al. (2015). Cholinergic basal forebrain structure influences the reconfiguration of white matter connections to support residual memory in mild cognitive impairment. *J Neurosci.* **35**:739–47.
- Reid GA, Chilukuri N, Darvesh S (2013). Butyrylcholinesterase and the cholinergic system. *Neuroscience.* **234**:53–68.
- Riekkinen P, Aaltonen M, Sirviö J, Riekkinen P (1991). Tetrahydroaminoacridine alleviates medial septal lesion-induced and age-related spatial reference but not working memory deficits. *Physiol Behav.* **49**:1147–52.
- Robbins TW, Everitt BJ, Ryan CN, Marston HM, Jones GH, Page KJ (1989). Comparative effects of quisqualic and ibotenic acid-induced lesions of the substantia innominata and globus pallidus on the acquisition of a conditional visual discrimination: Differential effects on cholinergic mechanisms. *Neuroscience.* **28**:337–52.
- Rochais C, Lecoutey C, Gaven F, Giannoni P, Hamidouche K, Hedou D, Dubost E, et al. (2015). Novel Multitarget-Directed Ligands (MTDLs) with Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) Inhibitory and Serotonergic Subtype 4 Receptor (5-HT 4 R) Agonist Activities As Potential Agents against Alzheimer's Disease: The Design of Donecopride. *J Med Chem.* **58**:3172–87.
- Rupniak NMJ, Field MJ, Samson NA, Steventon MJ, Iversen SD (1990). Direct comparison of cognitive facilitation by physostigmine and tetrahydroaminoacridine in two primate models. *Neurobiol Aging.* **11**:609–13.
- Sabbagh MN, Shah F, Reid RT, Sue L, Connor DJ, Peterson LKN, Beach TG (2006). Pathologic and nicotinic receptor binding differences between mild cognitive impairment, Alzheimer disease, and normal aging. *Arch Neurol.* **63**:1771–6.

- Salmon DP (2011). Neuropsychological features of mild cognitive impairment and preclinical alzheimer's disease. *Curr Top Behav Neurosci.* **10**:187–212.
- Schliebs R, Arendt T (2011). The cholinergic system in aging and neuronal degeneration. *Behav Brain Res.* **221**:555–63.
- Schneider LS, Mangialasche F, Andreasen N, Feldman H, Giacobini E, Jones R, Mantua V, et al. (2014). Clinical trials and late-stage drug development for Alzheimer's disease: An appraisal from 1984 to 2014. *J Intern Med.* **275**:251–83.
- Shimizu S, Mizuguchi Y, Sobue A, Fujiwara M, Morimoto T, Ohno Y (2015). Interaction between anti-Alzheimer and antipsychotic drugs in modulating extrapyramidal motor disorders in mice. *J Pharmacol Sci.* **127**:439–45.
- Shirey JK, Brady AE, Jones PJ, Davis AA, Bridges TM, Kennedy JP, Jadhav SB, et al. (2009). A selective allosteric potentiator of the M1 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor increases activity of medial prefrontal cortical neurons and restores impairments in reversal learning. *J Neurosci.* **29**:14271–86.
- Small SA, Chawla MK, Buonocore M, Rapp PR, Barnes CA (2004) Imaging correlates of brain function in monkeys and rats isolates a hippocampal subregion differentially vulnerable to aging. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* **101**:7181-6.
- Stark SM, Yassa MA, Lacy JW, Stark CEL (2013). A task to assess behavioral pattern separation (BPS) in humans: Data from healthy aging and mild cognitive impairment. *Neuropsychologia.* **51**:2442–9.
- Stemmelin J, Cassel J-C, Will B, Kelche C (1998). Sensitivity to cholinergic drug treatments of aged rats with variable degrees of spatial memory impairment. *Behav Brain Res.* **98**:53–66.
- Stern Y (2012). Cognitive reserve in ageing and Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet Neurol.* **11**:1006–12.

- Sweeney JE, Höhmann CF, Moran TH, Coyle JT (1988). A long-acting cholinesterase inhibitor reverses spatial memory deficits in mice. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* **31**:141–7.
- Tanaka Y, Hanyu H, Sakurai H, Takasaki M, Abe K (2003). Atrophy of the substantia innominata on magnetic resonance imaging predicts response to donepezil treatment in Alzheimer's disease patients. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord.* **16**:119–25.
- Traissard N, Herbeaux K, Cosquer B, Jeltsch H, Ferry B, Galani R, Pernon A, et al. (2007). Combined damage to entorhinal cortex and cholinergic basal forebrain neurons, two early neurodegenerative features accompanying Alzheimer's disease: effects on locomotor activity and memory functions in rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* **32**:851–71.
- Tronche C, Lestage P, Louis C, Carrie I, Béracochéa D (2010). Pharmacological modulation of contextual "episodic-like" memory in aged mice. *Behav Brain Res.* **215**:255-60.
- Unzeta M, Esteban G, Bolea I, Fogel WA, Ramsay RR, Youdim MBH, Tipton KF, et al. (2016). Multi-Target Directed Donepezil-Like Ligands for Alzheimer's Disease. *Front Neurosci.* **10**:205.
- Van Goethem NP, Schreiber R, Newman-Tancredi A, Varney M, Prickaerts J (2015). Divergent effects of the 'biased' 5-HT_{1A} receptor agonists F15599 and F13714 in a novel object pattern separation task. *Br J Pharmacol.* **172**:2532–43.
- Waring JF, Tang Q, Robieson WZ, King DP, Das U, Dubow J, Dutta S, et al. (2015). APOE-4 Carrier Status and Donepezil Response in Patients with Alzheimer's Disease. *J Alzheimer's Dis.* **47**:137–48.
- Webster SJ, Bachstetter AD, Nelson PT, Schmitt FA, Van Eldik LJ (2014). Using mice to model Alzheimer's dementia: An overview of the clinical disease and the preclinical behavioral changes in 10 mouse models. *Front Genet.* **5**:88.

- Whishaw IQ, O'Connor WT, Dunnett SB (1985). Disruption of central cholinergic systems in the rat by basal forebrain lesions or atropine: Effects on feeding, sensorimotor behaviour, locomotor activity and spatial navigation. *Behav Brain Res.* **17**:103–15.
- Whitehouse PJ, Price DL, Clark AW, Coyle JT, DeLong MR (1981). Alzheimer disease: Evidence for selective loss of cholinergic neurons in the nucleus basalis. *Ann Neurol.* **10**:122–26.
- Wilkinson D, Francis PT, Schwam E, Payne-Parrish J (2004). Cholinesterase inhibitors used in the treatment of Alzheimer's disease: the relationship between pharmacological effects and clinical efficacy. *Drugs Aging.* **21**:453–78.
- Wilkinson D, Windfeld K, Colding-Jørgensen E, Geldenhuys W, Schyf C Van der, Ramírez M, Upton N, Chuang T, et al. (2014). Safety and efficacy of idalopirdine, a 5-HT₆ receptor antagonist, in patients with moderate Alzheimer's disease (LADDER): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 2 trial. *Lancet Neurol.* **13**:1092–99.
- Zimmermann M (2013). Neuronal AChE splice variants and their non-hydrolytic functions: Redefining a target of AChE inhibitors? *Br J Pharmacol.* **170**:953–67.